

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/909,975	07/23/2001	Yukio Maki	57454-162	2289
7	06/30/2002			
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
	C 20005-3096		GEBREMARIAM, SAMUEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>/</i> *	•	(E.X.	pi
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
,	09/909,975	MAKI, YUKIO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Samuel A Gebremariam	2811	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover she tw	ith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIC SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	IONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replaced in the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature and the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature and the period for reply will, by stature and the period for reply will.	136(a). In no event, however, may a lipty within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the malling date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12</u>	March 2002 .		
, =:-	his action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits i	s
closed in accordance with the practice unde  Disposition of Claims	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application	١.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		the Eveniner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r		alsapproved by the Examinor.	
1,2) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gir priority and or or or or	,	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			
application from the International E  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			on).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional application has t stic priority under 35 U.S.C	peen received. . §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2811

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 1-6 drawn to a semiconductor device in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3 and 4, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hashimoto et al., US patent No. 5,455,438.

Regarding claim 1, Hashimoto teaches a semiconductor device comprising: a semiconductor substrate 1 including an active region and an isolation region 2; and a gate electrode 18' formed on the active region with an insulating film 17 interposed between gate electrode and active region where the surface of the active region is entirely rounded so as to be inclined downward the isolation region (fig. 1).

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Hashimoto teaches the entire claimed structure of claim 1 above including an isolation insulating film 2 is formed in the isolation region, the isolation insulating film includes a bird's beak portion extending on the active region and the active region is covered with the bird's beak portion where the bird's beak portion has a larger thickness near the isolation region than in the center of the active region (fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2811

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 6, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto in view of Ando et al. US patent No. 5,285,096.

Regarding claim 2, Hashimoto teaches substantially the entire claimed structure of claim 1 above except explicitly stating that the semiconductor device of claim includes SRAM and the SRAM includes an access MOS transistor and a driver MOS transistor.

It is conventional and is also taught by Ando to have an SRAM that includes a driver MOSFET and an access MOSFET (fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to consider the memory cell and circuit area taught by Hashimoto as the access and driver portion of the MOSFET respectively.

Regarding claim 6, Hashimoto teaches substantially the entire claimed structure of claim 1 above except explicitly stating that the gate insulating film of the access MOS transistor has a larger thickness than the gate insulating film of the driver MOS transistor.

Art Unit: 2811

Ando teaches a thicker gate oxide film 3b of the access MOSFET than the driver MOSFET gate oxide 3a (fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a thicker gate oxide film for the access MOSFET than the driver MOSFET in order to ensure a high stability of the memory cell (column 3, lines 15-34).

Claim 5, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto in view of Ando et al. US patent No. 5,285,096 and in further view of Kuriyama et al. US patent No. 5,945,715.

Regarding claim 5, Hashimoto teaches substantially the entire claimed structure of claim 1 above except explicitly stating that the access MOS transistor has a smaller channel doping depth than that of the driver MOS transistor.

Kuriyama teaches a semiconductor device with a memory cell part and peripheral circuit where the depth source/drain region is reduced which in turn implies the depth of the channel region.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the channel doping depth in the access MOS region smaller than driver MOS transistor taught by Kuriyama in order to reduce the width of the isolation region (fig. 1, column 4, lines 57-67).

# Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References C and D are cited as being related to SRAM.

Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel Admassu Gebremariam whose telephone number is 703 305 1913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 703-308-2772. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Samuel Admassu Gebremariam May 3, 2002

Steven Loke